

# Synthesizing Failure: Simplicity in Modeling

**Disclaimer**

So you need to **build** a new thing

You're going to use a **modern** system design approach

The team comes back with a **design**  
that is...

**Multi region**

**Containerized**

**Multi zone**

**k8s deployed**

**Eleventy billion nines!\***

**Multi cloud**

**Replicated**

**\*Some items more expensive than they appear**



**Why?**

Because we **don't know** how or when  
something will **break**

We spend **time**, **money**, and **talent**  
on making things unbreakable so we  
don't have to understand how they  
break

**But they still do**

What if instead of building  
**unbreakable** things we designed  
**gracefully failing** systems?

What if those systems modeled **failure** as part of the **domain**?

What if the **failure** modeling  
included **business impact** analysis?

What if you could **reduce**  
infrastructure **complexity** and suffer  
no material **loss of revenue**?

But **how?**

Modern architecture is...

10% **innovation**

20% **learning**

20% **compromise**

50% **ego**

The **process**

When something fails, **encode** how  
to **handle** it

**try/catch** is **no longer** the end of  
your journey

Failure is an **algebraic data type**

**Temporary** | **Semantic** | **Terminal**

When it's **temporary**, dead letter the  
action and **retry**

**Queues** are liberating

When it's **semantic**, decide if there's **enough information** to continue and continue while alerting

If you can't, it's **terminal**

If it's terminal, **shut down**

Yes, **shut down**

It tells other consumers to queue up  
and **try again later**

Most systems can afford to be  
**eventually consistent**

Most can afford some ambiguity in execution with simple **reconciliation** tactics

When you understand  
**reconciliation**, you understand  
what's **most important**

**Failure synthesis** as a design  
technique is powerful

When people understand **business impact**, they tend to make better choices

Next time you ask a team to build something, re-evaluate how **failure** impacts **design**

Use failure synthesis to **simplify** it

# References

- [aaronbedra.com/post/failure\\_synthesis/](https://aaronbedra.com/post/failure_synthesis/)
- [lexi-lambda.github.io/blog/2019/11/05/parse-don-t-validate/](https://lexi-lambda.github.io/blog/2019/11/05/parse-don-t-validate/)
- [www.howtomeasureanything.com/](https://www.howtomeasureanything.com/)
- [www.amazon.com/Waltzing-Bears-Managing-Software-Projects/dp/0932633609](https://www.amazon.com/Waltzing-Bears-Managing-Software-Projects/dp/0932633609)
- [www.youtube.com/watch?v=AnZ0uTOerUI](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AnZ0uTOerUI)